

NEIL T. DUDDY

1 profitable.

2 MR. MORGAN: Q. Well, what I am asking you is, do  
3 you think that that is something that is noteworthy to  
4 write in your book? The facts I gave you?

5 A. No, the facts you gave me, as far as I know,  
6 are not facts, Mr. Morgan.

7 Q. That's because you never found out the facts,  
8 Mr. Duddy, but I'm asking you to assume now that those are  
9 the facts. If they were, would you have written in your  
10 book that those facts were noteworthy?

11 MR. WOODRUFF: I would like the last statement of  
12 counsel be stricken to the extent that it did not involve a  
13 question.

14 THE WITNESS: Join.

15 If these events had not occurred, I would not have  
16 found it noteworthy.

17 MR. MORGAN: Q. All right. Then let me go a step  
18 further. I want you to assume that \$235,000 was sent to  
19 the church in Stuttgart. Church in Stuttgart put the money  
20 in the bank and was drawing three percent interest.

21 The church in Stuttgart could not locate property  
22 at that time which was suitable for their purposes, and the  
23 church in Stuttgart then lent the money to the Living  
24 Stream with an agreement that the money would be paid  
25 whenever they asked for it, and it would draw interest at  
26 ten percent, and I want you to assume further, that that

1 money was paid to the church in Stuttgart when the church  
2 in Stuttgart asked for the money and had found property  
3 they wanted to buy, and I want you to assume further,  
4 Mr. Duddy, that all of this occurred before any book was  
5 published.

6 Now, let me ask you this, Mr. Duddy, if you had  
7 known all of those facts, would you have regarded that  
8 noteworthy so as to put it in your book?

9 A. I object to the question because I still  
10 consider it in the realm of speculation, and you're telling  
11 me that it's fact. I don't know that.

12 Q. That's right, and so you'll understand the  
13 court procedure, Mr. Duddy, if I can't prove those facts  
14 that question has no merit at all, obviously. But if I can  
15 prove those facts that question has a lot of merit and so  
16 does your answer.

17 So you must assume for these purposes that I can  
18 prove every fact I just recited to you.

19 Now my question to you, with that assumption, would  
20 you have considered those facts noteworthy to involve  
21 putting them in your book?

22 A. The ones you just referred to?

23 Q. Yes, sir.

24 A. I don't know. I may have.

25 Q. Mr. Duddy, does that indicate any mismanagement  
26 on the part of anybody?

NEIL T. DUDDY

1 A. No.

2 Q. Does it indicate any deception on the part of  
3 anybody?

4 A. Not to my knowledge.

5 Q. Now the only reason you put that material in  
6 the book --

7 A. Excuse me, I am going to retract that  
8 statement. There were so many positive conditions attached  
9 to it, I think we still need to consider the people who --  
10 well, let's see. Correct.

11 Q. What's correct?

12 A. If the money was legally used by Living Stream  
13 money -- Living Stream Ministry, and that the -- those very  
14 same moneys were used as you've described them --

15 Q. Well, I am trying to find out is there or is  
16 there not deception in your mind?

17 A. No deception.

18 Q. All right. The reason you put that material in  
19 the book, was to show the readers that there was both  
20 mismanagement of money and deception; isn't that correct?

21 A. No, it was to demonstrate a particular event.

22 Q. Okay. Let me read to you Exhibit 383.257, and  
23 this is 383.258.

24 It's your memo to Brooks Alexander, dated  
25 February 8th, 1980, and it's about the advantages and  
26 disadvantages of the lawsuit and the censoring. And this