

NEIL T. DUDDY

1 A. No.

2 Q. Were you referring to it as one of the  
3 financial mismanagements?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Okay. And isn't it correct, sir -- let me ask  
6 you this.

7 If people believed what you wrote about the  
8 Stuttgart transaction, would it have any effect, in your  
9 opinion, as to the Local Church getting other funds for  
10 such purposes?

11 A. I don't know.

12 Q. Did you give that any thought when you wrote  
13 this part about the Stuttgart transaction?

14 A. I don't recall.

15 Q. All right. Tell me, did you ever talk to  
16 Ronald Kangas about that transaction?

17 A. I don't believe so.

18 Q. Why not?

19 A. The conversation that I had with Mr. Kangas  
20 preceded my information on the transaction.

21 Q. Well, did you ever call Mr. Kangas and say  
22 "Hey, Mr. Kangas, I've heard some very disturbing  
23 information about the use of moneys. Can you explain it to  
24 me?"

25 A. Did I consider the call?

26 Q. Did you ever do it?

NEIL T. DUDDY

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did you ever consider doing it?

3 A. I may have, I don't recall.

4 Q. Do you have any knowledge as to why you  
5 ultimately didn't do it?

6 A. Relationships had deteriorated to a degree that  
7 communication was, I thought, unlikely to produce.

8 Q. What had deteriorated? You had a meeting with  
9 Mr. Kangas in November of 1978; is that right?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. Well, did you -- did that meeting end up in an  
12 argument or a fight or anything?

13 A. Or anything?

14 Q. Yes.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. How did it end up?

17 A. With an impression that communication with the  
18 Local Church on Mr. Lee's theological questions or  
19 practices and beliefs of the Local Church in its whole  
20 would not be possible.

21 Q. Well, did Mr. Kangas say that to you?

22 A. You mean did he communicate that to me?

23 Q. Did he say that to you, not did he communicate.  
24 Did he say it to you, simple word?

25 A. Yes.

26 Q. Okay. What did he say?