

1 Q. That was -- you're referring to the mediation 11:57:05
2 that took place in the course of this lawsuit; right? 11:57:07
3 A. Correct. 11:57:10
4 Q. Before the lawsuit was filed, did you ever 11:57:10
5 have a meeting with members of the ECNR -- 11:57:12
6 A. No. 11:57:16
7 Q. -- with members of the Local Church. 11:57:17
8 A. No, sir. 11:57:18
9 Q. And since you recall that they had requested a 11:57:22
10 meeting, my question to you is, why not? Why didn't you 11:57:25
11 meet with them? 11:57:29
12 A. I don't recall. 11:57:46
13 Q. Do you recall having -- thinking about meeting 11:57:51
14 with them? 11:57:54
15 A. At one point, I believe so, yes. 11:58:07
16 Q. And you made a decision not to; is that 11:58:09
17 correct? 11:58:12
18 A. I don't know if it was so much that I made a 11:58:19
19 decision not to, as that's the way things turned out. 11:58:21
20 Q. Well, what do you mean, that's the way things 11:58:26
21 turned out? 11:58:28
22 A. I don't recall sufficient details to answer 11:58:33
23 that. 11:58:36
24 Q. Well, let's take the period April, May, June 11:58:36
25 of 2001. Do you have that in mind, April, May, June 11:58:44

1 2001? Do you remember that? 11:58:50

2 I'm not saying you have to remember everything 11:58:51

3 that happened there, but do you have in mind that period 11:58:52

4 of time? 11:58:56

5 A. I don't remember anything from April, May, 11:58:58

6 June 2001, except that, you know, it exists. 11:58:59

7 Q. You don't remember anything from April, May, 11:59:04

8 June of 2001? Is that what you're telling me? 11:59:06

9 A. Yes, sir. 11:59:12

10 Q. Okay. Well, let's just go back to the time, 11:59:13

11 whenever it was, that the people that you did testify 11:59:16

12 already that you remember, that the people from Local 11:59:19

13 Church complained about the book and asked to meet with 11:59:22

14 you. Whenever that was. Okay? 11:59:25

15 A. (Nods head.) 11:59:27

16 Q. Do you have that in mind? 11:59:28

17 A. Yes, sir. 11:59:29

18 Q. And was there at that time anything that 11:59:30

19 physically prevented you from meeting with them? 11:59:33

20 A. Physically. No, sir. 11:59:37

21 Q. At that time was there -- at that time did you 11:59:45

22 believe it would be the Christian thing to do to meet 11:59:48

23 with them? 11:59:50

24 A. I only recall vaguely thinking about it and 12:00:23

25 possibly thinking about, you know, meeting with them. 12:00:27

1 Q. And you don't recall why you decided not to; 12:00:31
2 am I correct? 12:00:35
3 A. You're correct, I do not recall why. 12:00:41
4 Q. And when you were considering meeting with -- 12:00:47
5 when you had that thought vaguely about meeting with 12:00:48
6 them, did you have the Corinthians reference in mind 12:00:51
7 that you cited to me earlier about resolving disputes? 12:00:55
8 A. I don't recall. 12:01:06
9 Q. Hmm. 12:01:09
10 At the time that ECNR was first published, I 12:01:39
11 believe you said, and correct me if I'm wrong, I believe 12:01:42
12 you said that you had not done any research on the basis 12:01:45
13 for the judgment in the SCP case; is that correct? 12:01:50
14 A. At the time it was first published. 12:01:54
15 Yes, I believe that's correct. 12:02:01
16 Q. So for all you knew, the judgment in the SCP 12:02:19
17 case could have dealt with some of the same issues about 12:02:23
18 Local Church that were raised in ECNR; correct? 12:02:28
19 A. By some of the issues, you mean any of the 12:02:40
20 issues -- 12:02:43
21 Q. Yes, sir. 12:02:44
22 A. -- that were dealt with in the SCP case. 12:02:45
23 Q. Yes, sir. 12:02:51
24 A. I don't know. Anything is possible. 12:03:11
25 Q. Well, you knew that the SCP case, at least 12:03:12